

IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year $\underline{2013}$ as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

December 20, 2012 Date:

Legal Description of Property

Square:

0081

Lot: 0096

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT		
Land	7,885,620	Land	7,885,620	
Building	5,273,550	Building	5,273,550	
Total	\$ 13,159,170	Total	\$ 13,159,170	

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation, and classification. The Petitioner presented no evidence for the claim of condition, disputed property record, or classification. The Petitioner presented a stabilized statement of operation based on the income approach and its knowledge of the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) treatment of comparable properties in the Petitioner's portfolio to support it value. In preparation for the hearing, the Office of Tax and Revenue has completed a stabilized income and expense worksheet for the property. OTR correlated the worksheet with relevant market data of similar types of property in the subject's submarket. OTR concluded an estimated value increase of 1% above the initial assessment, but recommended that RPTAC sustain the Tax Year 2013 assessment. After careful examination of all evidence, the Commission finds that, in this case, the Petitioner failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed assessment by OTR is incorrect. The Commission sustains the Tax Year 2013 proposed assessment.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: December 20, 2012

Legal Description of Property

Square:

0081

Lot: 0097

Property Address: 2116 F Street NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	7,885,620	Land	7,885,620
Building	5,273,550	Building	5,273,550
Total	\$ 13,159,170	Total	\$ 13,159,170

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation, and classification. The Petitioner presented no evidence for the claim of condition, disputed property record, or classification. The Petitioner presented a stabilized statement of operation based on the income approach and its knowledge of the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) treatment of comparable properties in the Petitioner's portfolio to support it value. In preparation for the hearing, the Office of Tax and Revenue has completed a stabilized income and expense worksheet for the property. OTR correlated the worksheet with relevant market data of similar types of property in the subject's submarket. OTR concluded an estimated value increase of 1% above the initial assessment, but recommended that RPTAC sustain the Tax Year 2013 assessment. After careful examination of all evidence, the Commission finds that, in this case, the Petitioner failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed assessment by OTR is incorrect. The Commission sustains the Tax Year 2013 proposed assessment.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

May Chan/

Gregory Syphax

Frank Sanders

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	January 18, 2013	
Legal Descr	iption of Property		
Square: 0	139 Lot: 0817		
Property Ad	ldress: 1225 19 th Street NW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	20,534,400	Land	20,534,400
Building	7,365,850	Building	5,470,910
Total	\$ 27,900,250	Total	\$ 26,005,310

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code §47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



BRPAA ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Suffix

1225 19th Street NW

0139

Property Address

Square

0817

Lot(s)

	Petitioner	DC Sellers	on Building LL Lincoln Pro	porty ou
		1100	STIPULATION AGREE	MENT
DDEE	TO EXP	EDITIOUSLY S	ETTLE THIS MATTER, EACH I	ND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THAT PARTY AGREES TO STIPULATE TO TAX YEAR <u>2013</u> AS FOLLOWS:
			PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE
	LAN	D	\$20,534,400	\$20,534,400
	IMPI	ROVEMENTS	\$7,365,850	\$5,470,910
	TOT	AL	\$27,900,250	\$26,005,310
capital	ization rate w	ras increased to 8	ubject property for Tax Year 2013 the m .05%, and expenses were increased to	\$13.98 per square foot.
BOARD HALL FURTHI	OF RE AL PR BECOME THE ER HEARINGS	ASSESSED VALUE BEFORE THE BOAT	ENTS AND APPEALS' ADDITION OF THI AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS HEIRS, ASSIG RD OF REAL PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ANI	AT UP ON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTIES AND E PARTIES' STIPULATED ASSESSMENT, THAT V. INS OR SUCCESS ORS SHALL CONTEST THIS VAL. O APPEALS OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY COU
OARD HALL URTHI	OF RE AL PR BECOME THE ER HEARINGS THE DISTR APPRAISE	OPERTY ASSESSM ASSESSED VALUE BEFORE THE BOAD ICT OF COLUM R:	ENTS AND APPEALS' ADDITION OF THE AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS HEIRS, ASSIG RD OF REAL PROPERTY ASSESSMENT AND MBIA:	Date: 1/27/12
OARD HALL URTHI	OF RE AL PR BECOME THE ER HEARINGS THE DISTR APPRAISE SUPERVISO	OPERTY ASSESSM ASSESSED VALUE BEFORE THE BOAD ICT OF COLUM	ER:	INS OR SUCCESS ORS SHALL CONTEST THIS VAL DAPPEALS OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY COU
FOR 1	OF RE AL PR BECOME THE ER HEARINGS THE DISTR APPRAISE SUPERVISO Appreval of the Supe APPEALS & RESIDENT	OPERTY ASSESSM ASSESSED VALUE BEFORE THE BOAL ICT OF COLUM R: ORY APPRAIS Ryisory Appraiser is required & LITAGATION IAL MANAGE	ER: If or all stipulations) N MANAGER/	Date: 1/27/12
SOARD HALL FURTHI	OF RE AL PR BECOME THE ER HEARINGS THE DISTR APPRAISE SUPERVISO Approval of the Supe APPEALS & RESIDENT Approval of the Approv	OPERTY ASSESSM ASSESSED VALUE BEFORE THE BOAL ICT OF COLUM R: ORY APPRAIS Existing Appraiser is required & LITAGATION LIAL MANAGE Lists & Litigation Manager (co.) is required for all stipulation ORAISER:	ER: If or all stipulations) N MANAGER/ R:	Date: 11/20/12



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date: J	December 18, 2012	
Legal Descri	iption of Property		
Square:	0140 Lot: 0089		
Property Ad	dress: 1899 L Street NW ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	28,024,000	Land	28,024,000
Building	39,110,640	Building	39,110,640
Total	\$ 67,134,640	Total	\$ 67,134,640

Rationale:

The subject property is an office building located in the CBD submarket. The Petitioner raises the following issues: retail rent, below grade space rent, expenses, vacancy rate, capital expenditures, tenant improvements deduction, and capitalization rate. Prior to the RPTAC hearing, the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) Assessor made the following adjustments to their analysis: recognized certain square footage as below grade and applied a lower rent per square foot for that square footage; increased the expense allowance; increased the vacancy rate; increased capital expenditures; and lowered the capitalization rate. After factoring these changes to the analysis, the property value increased. As such, the OTR Assessor recommends sustaining the lower proposed assessment value. The Commission finds OTR's analysis regarding all the issues raised by the Petitioner except the capitalization rate being lowered to be reasonable based on the hearing testimony. Therefore, the Commission sustains the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Karla Christensen

Hillary Lovick, Esq.

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date: I	December 18, 2012	
Legal Descr	iption of Property		
-1	0140 Lot: 0090		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	39,292,500	Land	39,292,500
Building	61,532,670	Building	55,846,340
Total	\$ 100,825,170	Total	\$ 95,138,840

Rationale:

The subject property is an office building located in the CBD submarket. The Petitioner raises the following issues, office rent; expenses; and capitalization rate. Prior to the RPTAC hearing, the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) Assessor made the following adjustments to their analysis: decreased market office rent and increased the expense allowance. These changes to the analysis resulted in a 5.64% change in value and a new OTR recommended value of \$95,138,840. The Petitioner argues that the building's market office rent should be lowered further based on one new lease signed in 2011 at a lower rate than the rate applied in OTR's recommended value. The Commission finds a market rate falling within the two extremes and OTR's original expense allowance to be reasonable. The remaining disputed issue is capitalization rate, and the Commission finds OTR's capitalization rate analysis to be reasonable based on the subject property's commercial business district location. Factoring in these considerations, OTR's recommended value is supported. Therefore, the Commission accepts the recommended value of \$95,138,840 as a reduction to the Tax Year 2013 proposed assessment.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Karla Christensen

Hillary Lovick, Esq.

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date	: December 18, 2012	
Legal Descr	iption of Property		
Square:	0140 Lot: 0092		
	dress: 1850 M Street NW		FINAL ASSESSMENT
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	49,620,000	Land	49,620,000
Building	47,748,040	Building	47,748,040
Total	\$ 97,368,040	Total	\$ 97,368,040

Rationale:

The subject property is an office building located in the CBD submarket. The Petitioner raises the following issues on appeal: retail rents; expenses; and capitalization rate. The Petitioner argues that a new retail lease signed in 2011 is indicative of the market retail rate for the building. The Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) argues that the market retail rate applied in its analysis is supported based on the fact that five of the subject's six retail leases carry rents well above the 2011 lease's rate. The Commission finds OTR's market retail rate to be reasonable. OTR applied the reported expenses from the Petitioner's Tax Year 2013 income and expense form to derive their value. The Petitioner used a stabilized expense allowance. The Commission finds OTR's expense allowance to be reasonable. OTR applied a low range Class A capitalization rate based on the subject property's commercial business location, long-term leases, and rental rates. The Commission finds OTR's capitalization rate to be reasonable. As the Petitioner failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR erred in their analysis as to the issues raised, the Commission sustains the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment from the first level appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Karla Christensen

Hillary Lovick, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

Richard Amato, Esq.



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 23, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square: 0140 Lot: 0093

Property Address: 1801 L Street NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	36,408,000	Land	36,408,000
Building	46,686,540	Building	41,034,660
Total	\$ 83,094,540	Total	\$ 77,442,660

Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for tax year 2013). The bases of the appeal are equalization and valuation. The Petitioner presented no evidence for the equalization issue. The Petitioner and the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) both presented income and expense analyses to support their values. The issues presented by the Petitioner were long-term GSA occupied space, office rent, expenses, vacancy rate, capital expenditures, lease growth rate and capitalization rate. After reviewing the updated income and expense (I&E) reports submitted by the Petitioner, OTR corrected the long-term GSA space, lowered the office rent, increased the vacancy rate, and reduced the lease growth rate to zero. The changes made by OTR resulted in a "recommended" reduction to a value of \$77,275,660. The Commission reviewed the submissions by both parties and finds that the Petitioner did not establish that OTR's expenses, capital expenditures and capitalization rate were erroneous. The Commission does agree with OTR and finds that a reduction to OTR's recommended value for tax year 2013 is warranted.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders Gregory Syphax

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date	: December 19, 2012	
Legal Descr	iption of Property		
Square: 0	140 Lot: 0094	95	
Property Ad	ldress: 1150 18 th Street NW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	29,949,000	Land	29,949,000
Building	42,059,450	Building	37,390,690
Total	\$ 72,008,450	Total	\$ 67,339,690

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code § 47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



Suffix

140

Square

Petitioner

Rev. 8/13/12

Property Address

RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Lot(s)

1150 18th Street NW

DMARC 2007 CD5 1150 OFFI LNR PARTNERS INC

STIPULATION AGREEMENT

94

THE SUBJECT			T	F1
		PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE	2
	LAND	\$29,949,000	\$29,949,000	
	IMPROVEMENTS	\$42,059,450	\$42,059,450 '37,396	1690
	TOTAL	\$72,008,450	\$67,339,690	
TISTIFICAT	PERCENTAGE CHANGE:		bject property based on the pro-	perty's most
1000				
		*		
PROPERTY TAX	APPEALS COMMISSION'S AI		AT UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PAR ATED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE S SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN F ALUE TO ANY COURT.	
PROPERTY TAX ASSESSED VALU BEFORE THE RE FOR THE DIA APPRA SUPER	APPEALS COMMISSION'S AI E AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS AL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS STRICT OF COLUMBIA ISER: VISORY APPRAISER:	S HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS	SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FALUE TO ANY COURT. Date: 27	
PROPERTY TAX ASSESSED VALU BEFORE THE RE FOR THE DIA APPRA SUPER (All atipulation Major Comme APPEA) RESIDE Anneals & Liti	APPEALS COMMISSION'S AI E AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS AL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS STRICT OF COLUMBIA ISER: VISORY APPRAISER: 1. Gen. Com. stipulations must originate with super- trial stipulations must originate with super- LS & LITAGATION MA	SHEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH VALLE Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over	SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FALUE TO ANY COURT. Date: 2/2/2007 Over \$4 million. Date: 2/2/2007 Date: 2/2/2007 Date: 2/2/2007 Over \$4 million.	
PROPERTY TAX ASSESSED VALU BEFORE THE RE FOR THE DIA APPRA SUPER (All stipulation Major Comme APPEA) RESIDE Appents & Liti Residential Ma	APPEALS COMMISSION'S AI E AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS AL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS STRICT OF COLUMBIA ISER: VISORY APPRAISER: s. Gen. Com. slipulations must originate with reint stipulations must originate with Super LS & LITAGATION MA ENTIAL MANAGER: gation Manager (Commercial properties wh unager (All stipulations)	S HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS S COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH V. Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over ANAGER/ ere value change is greater than 10% or over \$5 million sidential; greater than 20% or over \$4 million for Gen.	SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FALUE TO ANY COURT. Date: //	
PROPERTY TAX ASSESSED VALU BEFORE THE RE FOR THE DIS APPRA SUPER (All atipulation Major Comme APPEA) RESIDE Appeals & Litt Residential Ma CHIEF (Properties wh greater than 2	APPEALS COMMISSION'S AI E AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS AL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS STRICT OF COLUMBIA ISER: VISORY APPRAISER: a. Gea. Com. stipulations must originate with reini stipulations must originate with Super- LS & LITAGATION MA ENTIAL MANAGER: gation Manager (Commercial properties wh nager (All stipulations) APPRAISER: ree value change is greater than 20% for Res 0% or over \$12 million for Major Commercial visor of the commercial properties who are considered as a commerci	S HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS S COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH V. A: A: A: A: A: A: A: A: A: A	SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FALUE TO ANY COURT. Date: //	URTHER HEARING



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	January 18, 2013	
Legal Descr	iption of Property		
Square: 0	140 Lot: 0095		
Property Ac	ldress: 1800 M Street NW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	93,057,000	Land	93,057,000
Building	90,432,300	Building	71,791,480
Total	\$ 183,489,300	Total	\$ 164,848,480

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code §47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



Suffix

0140

Square

Rev. 11-1-11

BRPAA ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Lot(s)

0095

	Property A	ddress	1800 M Street NW	
	Petitioner	Pris	a Acquisition LLC Cassidy & Penkard	
	-		STIPULATION AGREEMENT	
ORDI	ER TO EXP	EDITIC	BY AND B ETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DUSLY SETTLE THIS MATTER, EACH PARTY AGREES TO STIPULATE T TALUE FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR TAX YEAR <u>2013</u> AS FOLLOW	O THE
			PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level) STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE	
	LAN	D	\$93,057,000 \$93,057,000	
	IMPI	ROVEM	MENTS \$90,432,300 \$71,791,480	
	тот	AL	\$183,489,300 \$164,848,480	
By EN BOAR SHALL FURTI	TERING INTO D OF RE AL PR L BECOME THE HER HEARINGS	THIS STI OPERTY ASSESS BEFORE	Int at the subject property for Tax Year 2013 the market rents was reduced to \$40 and the eased to 7.65%. If PULATION AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THAT UP ON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTIE ASSESSMENTS AND APPEALS' ADOPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPULATED ASSESSMENT, THE DOLLUMENT AND APPEALS OR SUCCESS ORS SHALL CONTEST THE THE BOARD OF REAL PROPERTY ASSESSMENT AND APPEALS OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO A FEOLUMBIA:	ES AND THE
	APPRAISE	R:	1 Mayour Part Date: 1/1/1/	
	SUPERVISO (Approval of the Super	ORY A	PPRAISER: Date: 11/26/1	7_
	RESIDENT	TAT. M.	AGATION MANAGER/ ANAGER: Collice Date: 27. Was a commercial properties) or Residential Manager for all stipulations where the value change equals or exceeds 10%)	J 2012
	CHIEF APP	RAISE Appraiser is	ER: Date: Date:	
FOR	THE PETIT			2



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	December 18, 2012	
Legal Descr	iption of Property		
Square:	0140 Lot: 0876		
	ldress: 1850 M Street NW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	7,150,000	Land	7,150,000
Building	7,383,100	Building	7,383,100
Total	\$ 14,533,100	Total	\$ 14,533,100

Rationale:

The subject property is an office building located in the CBD submarket. The Petitioner raises the following issues on appeal: market office rents for both above and below level square footage; expenses; vacancy rate; capital expenditures; and capitalization rate. Prior to the RPTAC hearing, the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) Assessor made the following adjustments to their analysis: reduced office above level rent; reduced office below level rent; increased the expense allowance; increased the vacancy rate; and increased capital expenditures. After factoring these changes to the analysis, the property value reflected a 4.92% reduction. The Petitioner argues that the capitalization rate applied by OTR is too low and inappropriate given the building's age and lack of parking. The OTR Assessor argues that the subject property's commercial business district location and its close proximity to the METRO mitigates the lack of on-site parking.

The Commission finds OTR's capitalization rate analysis to be reasonable and the Petitioner fails to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR erred in their analysis. Further, pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 47-825.01a(e)(4)(C)(ii)(2012 supp.), the Commission is authorized to "raise or lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. OTR's aforementioned adjustments to the value prior to the RPTAC hearing did not result in more than a 5% reduction in value, and the Commission finds that the evidence presented in this case is insufficient to warrant a reduction. Therefore, the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013 is sustained.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Karla Christensen

Hillary Lovick, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

Richard Amato, Esq.



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date:	January 18, 2013		
Legal Descr	ription of P	roperty			
Square: 0	140	Lot: 0907			
Property Ad	ldress: 1	137 19 th Street NW			
	-URLANDS-SONANS UNIT	L ASSESSMENT		FINAL	ASSESSMENT
Land		35,626,000	Land		35,626,000
Building		12,206,800	Building		4,576,800
Total	\$	47,832,800	Total	S	40,202,800

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code §47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



BRPAA ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Suffix

0140

Property Address 1137 19th Street NW

Square

Rev. 11-1-11

0907

Lot(s)

P	etitioner 1137 19TH	Street Associates c/o To	wer Construction	
		STIPULATION AGREE	MENT	
ORDER '	TO EXPEDITIOUSLY S	ETTLE THIS MATTER, EACH I	ND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THE PARTY AGREES TO STIPULATE TO TAX YEAR2013 AS FOLLOWS:	AT IN THE
		PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE	
	LAND	\$35,626,000.	\$35,626,000	
	IMPROVEMENTS	\$12,206,800	\$4,576,800	
	TOTAL	\$47,832,800	\$40,202,800	
BY ENTERI	NG INTO THIS STIPULATION	AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THE	e was accounted for in the office market rent AT UP ON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTIES AND PARTIES' STIPULATED ASSESSMENT, THAT'S	D THE
SHALL BEC	OME THE ASSESSED VALUE	AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS HEIRS, ASSIG	NS OR SUCCESS ORS SHALL CONTEST THIS VAI APPEALS OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY CO	LUE IN
API	E DISTRICT OF COLUM PRAISER:	V. Fran	faul Date: 11/27/12	_
	PERVISORY APPRAISE wal of the Supervisory Appraiser is required to		Date: 11/26/12	
RES	PEALS & LITAGATION SIDENTIAL MANAGER val of the Appeals & Litigation Manager (contial properties) is required for all stipulation	: Nong Coll	Date: 27 Nov.	2012
	IEF APPRAISER: val of the Chief Appraiser is required for all s	tipulations where the value change equals or exceeds 40%)	Date;	
FOR THE	PETITIONER:	the state of	A Date: 11/8/13	



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	December 19, 2012	
Legal Descr	iption of Property		
Square: 0	140 Lot: 0913		
Property Ad	ldress: 1145 19 th Street NW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
r 1	24 420 200	T 1	24 429 200
Land	24,428,300	Land	24,428,300
Building	19,303,970	Building	15,190,440
Total	\$ 43,732,270	Total	\$ 39,618,740

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code § 47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



Suffix

140

Square

Rev. 8/13/12

Property Address

RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Lot (s)

1145 19th Street

913

Petitioner		SNH Medical Offic	e Properties	
1 certioner		STIPULATION AGREE	MENT	
PEDITIOUSLY SE	TTLE THIS MATTEI	VEEN THE PETITIONER AND TR R, EACH PARTY AGREES TO STE LR <u>2013</u> AS FOLLOWS:	HE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THA PULATE TO THE ESTIMATED MAR	T IN ORDER KET VALUE
		PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE	
-	LAND	\$24,428,300	\$24,428,300	
	IMPROVEMENTS	\$19,303,970	\$15,190,440	
	TOTAL	\$43,732,270	\$39,618,740	
CTITET CATION.	OTR reevaluate	9.41 % STIPULATED VA	bject property based on the prop	erty's most
ent income and	expense statement	and the historical performance	e of the property.	
ESSED VALUE AND ORE THE REAL PR		S COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUCH V.	ATED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SHA SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FUR ALUE TO ANY COURT.	THER HEAR
APPRAISER		Vr Attaylyn	Tank Date: 10/1	1/12
SUPERVISO	RY APPRAISER:	1-11	Date: 12//	9/12
		h Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over	S12 million.)) *
	LITAGATION M	ANAGER/	Date:	
RESIDENTLE Appeals & Litigation M Residential Manager (A)	AL MANAGER: imager (Commercial properties wi i stipulations)	tere value change is greater than 10% or over 55 millio		
CHIEF APPE (Properties where value greater than 20% or over	CAISER: change is greater than 20% for Re er \$12 million for Major Commerc	sidentinl; greater than 20% or over \$4 million for Gen Inl.)	Date:	
DIRECTOR:	lininge is greater than 30% or over	Congression Constitution Consti	Date:	-
R THE PETITION OWNER/AGI		thint I	Date: (2) 11	10
	MPANY NAME:			



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 23, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square:

0140 Lot: 0920

Property Address: 1801 L Street NW

	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT	FINAL ASSESSMENT		
Land	167,000	Land	167,000	
Building	0	Building	0	
Total	\$ 167,000	Total	\$ 167,000	

Rationale:

The Petitioner did not challenge the assessment. The proposed 2013 tax year assessment is sustained.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

Petitioners have the right to appeal from an adverse decision of the Commission to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia under the applicable provisions of the D.C. Code. Appeals to Superior Court must be filed no later than September 30th of the tax year. In order to file an appeal with the D.C. Superior Court, petitioners must pay full year taxes to the Office of Tax and Revenue.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date	: January 24, 2013	
Legal Desci	ription of Property		
Square: 0	0158 Lot: 0080		
Property Ac	ddress: 1724 Massachusetts Aven	ue NW	
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	7,748,930	Land	7,748,930
Building	6,075,790	Building	3,762,670
Total	\$ 13,824,720	Total	\$ 11,511,600

Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code §47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



Suffix

Square

Property Address

158

RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

1724 Messachusetts Avenue NW

80

	Petitioner		American Legacy I	Foundation	
			STIPULATION AGREE	MENT	
EXP	EDITIOUSLY S	ETTLE THIS MATTE		HE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA THA PULATE TO THE ESTIMATED MAR	
			PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE	v.
		ĻAND	\$7,748,930	\$7,748,930	
		IMPROVEMENTS	\$6,075,790	\$3,762,670	
		TOTAL	\$13,824,720	\$11,511,600	
erme	ב- משפ משת א זח	PNTACE CHANCE	16.73 % STPULATED V	AT TIP CULNIONS 2217 120	Y .
		*			
			ed the assessed value of the sul and the historical performanc	oject property based on the prop	erty's most
rece	nt income and	expense statement	and the historical performance	e of the property.	
				~~~~~	
					Umilion I
BY EN	TERING INTO T	HIS STIPULATION AGE	EEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THA	T UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTIE	S AND THE REAL
PROP	ERTY TAX APPE	EALS COMMISSION'S AT	DOPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPUL	ated assessment, that value sha shall contest this value in fur	LL BECOME THE
BEFO	RE THE REAL PI	ROPERTY TAX APPEAL	COMMISSION OF APPEAL SUCH VA	LUE TO ANY COURT.	
FOR		CT OF COLUMBIA	1: A Man An	1/9	10
	APPRAISE	R:	1. Ellanda lan	Date: ///	1
	(All reinninifons, Gen. C	ORY APPRAISER: Com. atignitations must originate with pulations must originate with Super	b Supervisory Appraises to changes tenter than 25% or over visory Appraises for changes greater than 25% or over	or over \$4 million.  Date: 12/14/	1/2
	RESIDENTI	LITAGATION M. AL MANAGER:  denger (Compercial proporties w)	ANAGER Doug Col	lica Date: 9 Jan	. 2013
	Kezineniidi transikes A	Air ritpinations)			2
	CHIEF APP. (Troparties where value greater than 20% or o		ridential; greater than 20% or over \$4 million for Gen.	Com. Date:	
	DIRECTOR; (Propertice veliere value	change is greater than 30% or over	\$20 milition.)	Date:	
TOR	<i>THE PETITI</i> OWNER/AG	A De La California de L	the L	Date: 12/1	412
	AGENT'S C	OMPANY NAME:	Wilkes Artis, CHTD		V
Lev. 8/1	3/12				



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	December 19, 2012	
Legal Descr	ription of Property		
Square: (	0159 Lot: 0084		
Property A	ddress: 1211 Connecticut Avenue	NW	
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	20,531,500	Land	20,531,500
Building	26,027,470	Building	23,270,530
Total	\$ 46,558,970	Total	\$ 43,802,030

#### Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code § 47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

#### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

#### FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

Suffix

Square

Petitioner

Rev. 8/13/12

Property Address

159

#### RPTAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Lot (s)

1211 Connecticut Avenue NW

FP 1211 Conn Ave LLC

84

		PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE	2
	LAND	\$20,531,500	\$20,531,500	
	IMPROVEMENTS	\$26,027,470	\$23,270,530	
	TOTAL	\$46,558,970	\$43,802,030	
ent income	on: OTR reevaluate and expense statement	ed the assessed value of the sub and the historical performance	pject property based on the property.	operty's mo
PERTY TAX A ESSED VALUE	PPEALS COMMISSION'S AT AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS	OPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPULA	T UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PART TED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SE SHALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FO LUE TO ANY COURT.	HALL BECOM
PERTY TAX A ESSED VALUE ORE THE REAL	ppeals commission's at and neither party, its property tax appeals "RICT OF COLUMBIA"	HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUGH VA	TED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SI	HALL BECOM
PERTY TAX A ESSED VALUE ORE THE REAL R THE DIST APPRAIS SUPERVI (All stipulations, G	PPEALS COMMISSION'S AT AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS L PROPERTY TAX APPEALS ER:  SORY APPRAISER:  ca, Com, stipulations must originate with	OPTION OF THE PARTIES' STIPULA HEIRS, ASSIGNS OR SUCCESSORS S COMMISSION OR APPEAL SUGH VA  Supervisory Appealer for Changes greater than 25% of	TED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SIGNALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FOLIUE TO ANY COURT.  Date:	HALL BECOM
PERTY TAX A ESSED VALUE ORE THE REAL R THE DIST APPRAIS SUPERVI (All atipulations, of Major Commercial APPEALS RESIDEN Appent & Udgati	PPEALS COMMISSION'S AT AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS L PROPERTY TAX APPEALS CRICT OF COLUMBIA ER:  SORY APPRAISER:  ca. Com. stipulations must originate with a stipulations must originate with Supervice & LITAGATION MATTIAL MANAGER:  on Manager (Commercial properties which	Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or sory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over 5	TED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SIGNALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FILLUE TO ANY COURT.  Date:  Date:  Date:	HALL BECOM
PERTY TAX A ESSED VALUE ORE THE REAL R THE DIST APPRAIS SUPERVI (All atipulations, G Major Commercia APPEALS RESIDEN Appends & Luttant Residential Manag CHIEF AH (Properties where)	PPEALS COMMISSION'S AT AND NEITHER PARTY, ITS L PROPERTY TAX APPEALS ERICT OF COLUMBIA ER:  SORY APPRAISER:  ca. Com. stipulations must originate with a stipulations must originate with a stipulations must originate with supervise & LITAGATION MATIAL MANAGER:  on Manager (Commercial properties wheer (All stipulations)	Supervisory Appraiser for changes greater than 25% or over \$  NAGER  Jensing is greater than 10% or over \$5 million.	TED ASSESSMENT, THAT VALUE SIGNALL CONTEST THIS VALUE IN FOLIUE TO ANY COURT.  Date:  Date:  Date:	HALL BECOM URTHER HEA



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date:	January 18, 2013		
Legal Desci	ription of F	Property	*		
Square: (	0159 I	Lot: 0090			
Property Ac	ddress: 1	733 N Street NW			
	ORIGINA	L ASSESSMENT		FINAL	ASSESSMENT
Land		13,371,490	Land		13,371,490
Building		7,774,170	Building		6,685,360
Total	\$	21,145,660	Total	\$	20,056,850

#### Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code §47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

#### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

#### FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



Suffix

0159

Square

Rev. 11-1-11

#### BRPAA ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

0090

Lot(s)

	Property Address 1752 N Street					
	Petitioner	Ame	rican S	Society for Microbiology		
9				STIPULATION AGREE	MENT	4
ORDE	R TO EXPE	DITIO	USLY S	ETTLE THIS MATTER, EACH I	ND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA TO PARTY AGREES TO STIPULATE TO TAX YEAR <u>2013</u> AS FOLLOWS:	HAT IN THE
				PROPOSED ASSESSED VALUE (Assessed value after First Level)	STIPULATED ASSESSED VALUE	
	LAND			\$13,371,490	\$13,371,490	
	IMPR	OVEM	ENTS	\$7,774,170	\$6,685,360	
	TOTA	L		\$21,145,660	\$20,056,850	•
BY ENTI BOARD SHALL FURTH	TERING INTO T OF RE AL PRO BECOME THE A ER HEARINGS B	present is increase this STI I PERTY A SSSESS E EFORE T	t at the suitased to 7.6  PULATION ASSESSME THE BOAR	Dject property for Tax Year 2013 the magnetic states and expenses were increased to see that the second states and appeals and appeals adoption of the second states and appeals adoption of the second states and appeals and of real property assessment and	arket rents was reduced to \$42, the \$14.50 per square foot.  AT UP ON ACCEPTANCE BY THE PARTIES A PARTIES' STIPULATED ASSESSMENT, THAT NS OR SUCCESS ORS SHALL CONTEST THIS VAPPEALS OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY CAPPEALS OR APPEAL SUCH VALUE TO ANY CAPPEALS.	VAL UE
	THE DISTRIC APPRAISER SUPERVISO	:		1. Hayani Pa	Date: 11/27/12  Date: 11/20/12	-
]	RESIDENTIA Approval of the Appeals	LITAC	GATION NAGER	MANAGER/	Date:	
	CHIEF APPR			pulations where the value change equals or exceeds 40%)	Date:	
	THE PETITION OWNER/AGI			then I want	Date: 11 16 12	



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date	: January 15, 2013		
Legal Descr	ription of Property	•		
Square: 0	0159 Lot: 0855			
Property Ac	ddress: 1201 Connecticut Avenue	NW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	26,899,500	Land	26,899,500	
Building	32,349,280	Building	24,677,870	
Total	\$ 59,248,780	Total	\$ 51,577,370	

#### Rationale:

Pursuant to DC Code § 47-825.01a(c)(1)(B), the Stipulation Agreement entered into by the Office of Tax and Revenue and the Petitioner is accepted. The Stipulation Agreement resolves the matter of the Tax Year 2013 appeal.

#### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURE

Richard Amato, Esq.

#### FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

## RECEIVED JAN 15 2013

159

Square

Rev. 8/13/12

#### GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE REAL PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION

Lot (s)



# REAL PROPERTY TAX APPEALS COMMISSIORP TAC ASSESSMENT STIPULATION FORM

Suffix

	Square	159	Suffix		Lot(s)	855	
	Property Address			1201 Connection	ut Avenue, NW		
	Petitioner			MC Capitol Assoc	iates LLC		
	· ·		STIP	ULATION AGREE	MENT		
EXP	HEREBY AGREED EDITIOUSLY SETTLE SUBJECT PROPERTY	THIS MATTE	R, EACH PARTY	AGREES TO STI	HE DISTRICT PULATE TO TI	OF COLUMBIA THA HE ESTIMATED MAR	T IN ORDER TO KET VALUE FOI
				SSESSED VALUE after First Level)	STIPULATED	ASSESSED VALUE	
	LAN	D	\$26,8	399,500	\$2	6,899,500	
	IMP	ROVEMENTS	\$32,3	49,280	52	4,677,870	
	тот	AL	\$59,2	48,780	\$5	1,577,370	
STIPU	JLATED PERCENTAC	E CHANGE:	12.9 % 5	STIPIII ATED VAI	HE CHANCE	7.671.410	
BY EN PROPE	TERING INTO THIS STI ERTY TAX APPEALS CO SED VALUE AND NEIT RE THE REAL PROPERT	pulation agr pommission's at her party, its	EEEMENT, THE PA DOPTION OF THE S HEIRS, ASSIGNS S COMMISSION OF	RTIES AGREE THA	T UPON ACCEPATED ASSESSM	TANCE BY THE PARTIE ENT, THAT VALUE SHA ST THIS VALUE IN FUR DURT.	t rollover
	APPRAISER: SUPERVISORY A	DDD 4 YCED.	1	dayan	- 1(4)X	Date: 1/15	113
	(All stipulations, Gen. Com. stipul Major Commercial stipulations m	tions must originate mit	th Supervisory Approiser for visory Approiser for chang	or changes greater than 25% or over	or over \$4 million, \$12 million.)	Date: 1// 5//	3
	APPEALS & LITA RESIDENTIAL M Appeals & Litigation Manager (C Residential Manager (All stipulati	ANAGER:	1	Collica than 10% or over SS million	i.)	Date: 15 J.	2013
	CHIEF APPRAISI (Properties where value change is greater than 20% or over \$12 mil	greater than 20% for Re	sidential; greater than 20% (al.)	6 or over \$4 million for Gen.	Com.	Date:	
	DIRECTOR: Properties where value change is g	reater than 30% or over	S20 million.)			Date:	
	THE PETITIONER OWNER/AGENT:		the	ut Ju	·/	Date: 12/2	510.
	AGENT'S COMPA	NY NAME:	- Wolk	25 1884 28			



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statute you are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: December 12, 2012

Legal Description of Property

0183 Square:

Lot: 0107

Property Address: 1125 17th Street NW

	ORIGINA	L ASSESSMENT		FINAL A	ASSESSMENT
Land		13,631,440	Land		13,631,400
Building		7,309,660	Building		5,456,289
Total	\$	20,941,100	Total	\$	19,087,689

Rationale: The subject property is a seven story, owner-occupied, office building located in the Downtown CBD. The building has an NRA of 63,401 sf and is situated on a 14,249 sf lot. The Petitioner's appeal is based on property damage/condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation, and classification. However, the Petitioner's argument and estimate of value is based totally on the results of the Income Approach.

The Commission's review of the issues indicates that the Petitioner's appeal was not without merit at the first level, for the Assessor's original analysis appeared to include plain error in calculating a rent overage for this owner-occupied building. The Assessor's follow-up analysis, however, appears to address most of the Petitioner's concerns. The Assessor agreed to reduce the projected rent, raise the vacancy rate and operating expenses, and remove the rent overage calculation in is worksheet analysis. The Petitioner's objection to the Assessor's Capitalization rate appeared to be without merit since no convincing evidence was provided that indicated that the Assessor's rate was erroneous. The Commission hereby accepts the Assessor's amended and corrected worksheet analysis and reduces the assessment according to the Assessor's recommendation for TY 2013.

Commission Signatures

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statute you are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: December 12, 2012

#### Legal Description of Property

Square:

195S

Lot: 0800

Dranarty Addraga 1500 DL - J - T - L - 1 A

	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	5,475,600	Land	5,475,600
Building	1,002,610	Building	1,002,610
Total	\$ 6,478,210	Total	\$ 6,478,210

#### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeal Commission (PRTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for tax year 2013). The bases of the appeal are condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation, and classification. The Petition presented no evidence for the claim of condition, disputed property record, equalization, or classification. The subject property is a 100% owner-occupied DC/SP-1 zoned office building. The Petitioner presented a pro forma income approach to support their opinion of the market value for the subject property. The Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) uses a cost approach with a list of office sales to support OTR's proposed assessment.

The Commission finds that the suggestion of an alternative method of valuation by the Petitioner does not prove that OTR's value is incorrect. The Petitioner provided no evidence to suggest that the cost approach use by OTR was incorrect. The Commission sustains the TY 2013 proposed assessment.

Commission Signatures

Frank Sanders

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statute you are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date:	December 12, 2012		
Legal Desc	ription of P	roperty			
Square: (	0457 Lo	ot: 0871			
Property A	ddress: 40	1 7 th Street, NW			
	ORIGINA	L ASSESSMENT		FINAL A	SSESSMENT
Land		4,417,360	Land		4,417,360
Building		8,814,490	Building		7,476,100
Total	\$	13,231,850	Total	\$	11,893,460

#### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeal Commission (PRTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for tax year 2013). The bases of the appeal are condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation, and classification. The Petition presented no evidence for the claim of condition, disputed property record, equalization, or classification. OTR revised its work sheet and 'Recommended' a new proposed value for the subject property based upon updated information provided by the Petitioner. The valuation issue presented by the Petitioner was that the capitalization rate used by OTR was too low.

The Petitioner failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's capitalization rate is incorrect. The Commission agrees with OTR's 'recommended' value and acknowledges that a reduction in the proposed TY 2013 assessment is warranted.

Commission Signatures

Frank Sanders

Gregory Syphax

May Chan

**FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES** 



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statute you are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date:	December 18, 2012		
Legal Desc	ription of P	roperty			
Square:	1204 Lo	ot: 0064			
Property A	ddress: 34	05 M Street NW			
		L ASSESSMENT		FINAL A	ASSESSMENT
Land		2,205,000	Land		2,205,000
Building		2,929,110	Building		2,929,110
Total	\$	5,134,110	Total	\$	5,134,110

#### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation, and classification. The valuation issues presented by the Petitioner were the net operating income (NOI) used by the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) was too high, the capitalization rate used by OTR was too low, and that the Office of Tax and Revenue did not give credit for present value of future lease-up cost. The Petitioner failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's capitalization rate, NOI, or non-consideration of the Petitioner's lease-up cost is incorrect. Market sales in this neighborhood, provided by OTR add support for a greater price per square foot for the subject property. The Commission sustains the Tax Year 2013 proposed assessment.

**COMMISSION SIGNATURES** 

Gregory Syphax

Frank Sanders

May Chan

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statute you are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date: December 12, 2011	2
Legal Desc	ription of Property		
Square:	1209 Lot: 0910		
Property A	ddress: M Street, NW		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMEN	T	FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	1,507,310	Land	1,507,310
Building	26,130	Building	26,130
Total	\$ 1,533,440	Total	\$ 1,533,440

#### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeal Commission (PRTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for tax year 2013). The bases of the appeal are condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation, and classification. The Petitioner presented no evidence for the claim of condition, disputed property record, equalization, or classification. Both the Petitioner and the Office of Tax and Revenue presented income approaches to value based upon historic data and the income and expense reports submitted by the Petitioner. The valuation issue presented by the Petitioner was that the income produced by the property did not support the assessment.

The Petitioner failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment by the Office of Tax and Revenue was incorrect. The Commission sustains the TY 2013 proposed assessment.

Commission Signatures

Frank Sanders

Gregory Syphax

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statute you are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date:	December 12, 2012		
Legal Desc	ription of P	roperty			
Square:	1231 Lo	t: 0850			
Property A	ddress: 32	16 O Street, NW			
	ORIGINA	L ASSESSMENT		FINAL A	ASSESSMENT
Land		1,171,350	Land		1,171,350
Building		387,130	Building		387,130
Total	\$	1,558,480	Total	\$	1,558,480

#### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeal Commission (PRTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for tax year 2013). The bases of the appeal are condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation, and classification. The Petitioner presented no evidence for the claim of condition, disputed property record, equalization, or classification. Both the Petitioner and the Office of Tax and Revenue presented income approaches to value based upon historic data and the income and expense reports submitted by the Petitioner. The valuation issue presented by the Petitioner was that the income produced by the property did not support the assessment.

The Petitioner failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment by the Office of Tax and Revenue was incorrect. Market sales in this neighborhood, provided by OTR, add support for the price per square foot for the subject. The Commission sustains the TY 2013 proposed assessment.

Commission Signatures

Frank Sanders

Gregory Syphax

May Chan

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: December 11, 2012

Legal Description of Property

Square: 1232

Lot: 0050

Property Address: 1311 Wisconsin Avenue NW

	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	951,490	Land	951,490
Building	464,800	Building	464,800
Total	\$ 1,416,290	Total	\$ 1,416,290

#### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as on January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The Commission finds that the Petitioner's value did not meet the 5% rule contained in D.C. Official Code \$ 47-825.01a(e)(4)(c)(ii)(2012 Supp.). This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. See 1776 K Street Associates v. District of Columbia, 446 A.2d 1114, 1116 (D.C. 1982). The proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment is sustained.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

#### FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statute you are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date	: December 12, 2012	
Legal Desc	ription of P	roperty		
Square:	1232 Lo	ot: 0073		
Property A	ddress: 32	16 O Street, NW		
	ORIGINA	L ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land		601,410	Land	601,410
Building		284,090	Building	284,090
Total	\$	885,500	Total	\$ 885,500

#### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeal Commission (PRTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for tax year 2013). The bases of the appeal are condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation, and classification. The Petitioner presented no evidence for the claim of condition, disputed property record, equalization, or classification. Both the Petitioner and the Office of Tax and Revenue presented income approaches to value based upon historic data and the income and expense reports submitted by the Petitioner. The valuation issue presented by the Petitioner was that the income produced by the property did not support the assessment.

The Petitioner failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment by the Office of Tax and Revenue was incorrect. Market sales in this neighborhood, provided by OTR, add support for the price per square foot for the subject. The Commission sustains the TY 2013 proposed assessment.

Commission Signatures

Frank Sanders

Gregory Syphax

May Chan

**FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES** 



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date:	December 11, 201	2
egal Description of Property			

Square: 1232 Lot: 0071

Property Address: 1322 Wisconsin Avenue NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	1,894,320	Land	1,894,320
Building	1,138,670	Building	1,138,670
Total	\$ 3,032,990	Total	\$ 3,032,990

#### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as on January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The Commission finds that the Petitioner's value did not meet the 5% rule contained in D.C. Official Code \$ 47-825.01a(e)(4)(c)(ii)(2012 Supp.). This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. See 1776 K Street Associates v. District of Columbia, 446 A.2d 1114, 1116 (D.C. 1982). The proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment is sustained.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

#### FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 31, 2013

**Legal Description of Property** 

Square: 1374 Lot: 0841

Andrew Dorchester

Property Address: 4859 MacArthur Blvd. NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	1,855,280	Land	1,129,692
Building	788,910	Building	788,910
Total	\$ 2,644,190	Total	\$ 1,918,602

Rationale: The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 6, 2012. The bases for this two lot appeal are equalization and valuation. The Petitioner argues that OTR did not properly consider the actual and stabilized net operating income; OTR did not consider the long term lease and quality of the tenant; OTR's cost approach for this type of property is improper; the subject's neighborhood is a nice area and artificially overinflates OTR's rent analysis; OTR does not properly account for the subject's design, physical condition, and configuration of the building; and OTR does not properly account for economic conditions.

Given the physical and locational characteristics of this property and the limited market data, OTR's valuation approach is not inappropriate. However, those characteristics combined with the long term lease it is under and the quality of the tenant raises certain valuation concerns. Based on the information presented, the Petitioner met its burden of proof in establishing that a likely buyer of this property would look to the income stream it generates from its lease before considerations that would be concluded from a cost analysis. The Petitioner also meets its burden of proof in its expense analysis.

The Petitioner's capitalization rate analysis is not fully supported. The length of this lease and credit worthiness of its tenant make the subject unique as an investment instrument. Corporate bond yields on January 1, 2012 were significantly lower than the Petitioner's cap rate. The subject is likely closer to a quality corporate bond rate, in terms of its risk, than the rate proposed by the Petitioner. The RPTAC rejects the Petitioner's capitalization rate claim. The Petitioner met its burden of proof in refuting the remainder of OTR's analysis and showed by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's analysis is erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC feels that a reduction for the assessment for tax year 2013 is warranted.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Cliftine Jones

Dissent - See Attached

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

Trent Williams

### Dissenting Opinion from Commissioner Cliftine Jones

Regarding: Square: 1374 Lot: 0841

#### 4859 MacArthur Blvd.

The subject property is a CVS store, located in the very affluent Palisades subdivision of the District of Columbia, with a total Gross Building Area of approximately 10,392 square feet and a total C-2-A zoned land area of approximately 12,795 square feet. The Assessor stated in his Appraiser's Summary Report that the estimate of value was derived using "market supported" cost data. The subject's square foot value is \$254.00 per square foot of Gross Building Area. As stated, the Office of Tax and Revenue derived its value utilizing the cost approach. The assessor testified that he conducted a review of both sales and equalization analyses and affirms the proposed assessment for the Tax Year 2013.

The burden of proof rests with the Petitioner to prove by a *preponderance of the evidence* that the proposed assessment by the Office of Tax and Revenue is erroneous. It is the humble opinion of this Commissioner, that the Petitioner in fact *did not meet its burden of proof* in proving the proposed assessment to be erroneous.

The Petitioner argues that the subject property is the subject of a long term lease, which, with options, extends into the future and that this long term lease encumbers the property. The Petitioner references case law in another jurisdiction (Maryland) which is not controlling in the District of Columbia which codifies standard appraisal practice for valuing a property that is encumbered by a long term lease.

The evidence submitted by the Petitioner is as follows:

- A copy of The Ort Children Trust Four vs. Supervisors of Assessments of Allegany County: Maryland Tax Court "Memorandum of Grounds for Decision."
- A copy of the lease that commenced on the first day of September, 1982 ending in 2007originally a 25 year lease with extension options- between the Landlord and tenant- The Washington Theatre Corporation.
- 3. An unsigned Income and Expense Report for Tax Year 2012; a signed Income & Expense Report for Tax Year 2013.
- 4. One page from a Cap Rate Study by the Delta Associates Year End 2011 Class A retail cap rate study for the Washington, D.C. area.

The evidence submitted by the Office of Tax and Revenue as follows:

- 1. The Cost Approach indicating a valuation.
- 2. Sales Comparable: A CVS store that sold and located on the Georgia Avenue corridor- The Petworth subdivision (a less valuable neighborhood) as the subject; Sale Date: August 8, 2010 for \$3,750,000. This property is almost identical in Gross Building area- (11,882 square feet- the subject is 10,392 square feet) and also almost identical in land area (10,412 square feet, the subject is 12,795 square feet). This property sold at \$315.00 per square foot of Gross Building Area. The subject's proposed assessment is at

\$254.00 per square foot of Gross Building Area. The subject property is located in the affluent Palisades subdivision of the District of Columbia. The CVS located on Georgia Avenue is a completely different neighborhood. The Palisades neighborhood is likely to garner a higher value for its location.

- 3. The submission by the Assessor of the Appraiser's Equalization-Sales Report, listing sale information of properties (calendar year 2010 and 2011) and equalization data.
- 4. The Assessor stated that the Income Approach was considered but that little emphasis was placed on this approach as the income generated by the subject property would "not yield an equitable and accurate market value."

Finally, the Petitioner's argument that the long term tenant is an "encumbrance" on the subject's value, in my opinion, is misguided. This tenant is the CVS Caremark Corporation tenant. This income flow is almost a guarantee and, therefore, the capitalization rate utilized to achieve value for the AAA quality of this tenant's credit worthiness would be very low since there is literally no inordinate "risk" with this tenant; in this instance, the cap rate would be even lower because the tenant pays the real estate taxes and, therefore, the cap rate should not be tax loaded.

A Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) would clearly buy such a property with this long term lease for its stability and lack of risk quality. All in all, the capitalization rate utilized to achieve value for this property would be much lower than Petitioner contends and lower than the corporate bond yield chosen by my fellow panel members, because the tax rate was not backed out of the corporate bond yield utilized in this analysis.

For the aforementioned reasons, I respectfully dissent from the majority's opinion and of this woefully excessive reduction of the assessment which results in a gross under assessment of the property.

Cliftine Jones



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 31, 2013

Legal Description of Property Square: 1389 Lot: 0816

Property Address: U Street, NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	1,457,000	Land	1,049,957
Building	26,350	Building	26,350
Total	\$ 1,483,350	Total	\$ 1,076,307

Rationale: The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 6, 2012. The bases for this two lot appeal are equalization and valuation. The Petitioner argues that OTR did not properly consider the actual and stabilized net operating income; OTR did not consider the long term lease and quality of the tenant; OTR's cost approach for this type of property is improper; the subject's neighborhood is a nice area and artificially overinflates OTR's rent analysis; OTR does not properly account for the subject's design, physical condition, and configuration of the building; and OTR does not properly account for economic conditions.

Given the physical and locational characteristics of this property and the limited market data, OTR's valuation approach is not inappropriate. However, those characteristics combined with the long term lease it is under and the quality of the tenant raises certain valuation concerns. Based on the information presented, the Petitioner met its burden of proof in establishing that a likely buyer of this property would look to the income stream it generates from its lease before considerations that would be concluded from a cost analysis. The Petitioner also meets its burden of proof in its expense analysis.

The Petitioner's capitalization rate analysis is not fully supported. The length of this lease and credit worthiness of its tenant make the subject unique as an investment instrument. Corporate bond yields on January 1, 2012 were significantly lower than the Petitioner's cap rate. The subject is likely closer to a quality corporate bond rate, in terms of its risk, than the rate proposed by the Petitioner. The RPTAC rejects the Petitioner's capitalization rate claim. The Petitioner met its burden of proof in refuting the remainder of OTR's analysis and showed by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's analysis is erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC feels that a reduction for the assessment for tax year 2013 is warranted.

**COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES** 

Andrew Dorchester

Cliftine Jones

Dissent See Attached

Trent Williams

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

### Dissenting Opinion of Cliftine Jones

Lot 1389 Lot: 816

U Street N.W.

The subject property is a parking lot located in the very affluent Palisades subdivision of the District of Columbia with a total C-2-A zoned land area of 31,000 square feet or ¾ of an acre in land area with a valuation of \$47.00 per square foot of land. The Office of Tax and Revenue derived its value utilizing the cost approach. The assessor states that he conducted a review of both sales and equalization and affirms the proposed assessment for the Tax Year 2013. The Petitioner's argument is that the parking lot is the subject of a long term lease, which, with options, extends into the future which encumbers the property. The Petitioner references case law in another jurisdiction (Maryland) which is not controlling in the District of Columbia which codifies standard appraisal practice for valuing a property that is encumbered by a long term lease.

The Assessor submitted a Commercial Vacant Land Sales Report with sales from various quadrants of the District of Columbia. What *is* clear on the assessor's report is that all five sales that occurred in 2011 and were from the northwest quadrant of the city reflected an average sales price of \$374.60 per square foot of land. The subject is assessed at \$47.00 per square foot of land. The lot sizes were also of varying land areas and therefore size adjustments are required. It is *counter-intuitive* to suggest that the said parcel is worth less than the assessed \$47.00 per square foot of land. It appears that the proposed assessment, albeit very low, whether intentional or by happenstance, represents a fair market valuation of the subject which is a part of the long term lease and, in the Petitioner's description, *encumbered*.

The burden of proof rests with the Petitioner to prove by a *preponderance of the evidence* that the proposed assessment by the Office of Tax and Revenue is erroneous. It is the humble opinion of this Commissioner, that the Petitioner in fact *did not meet its burden of proof* in proving the proposed assessment to be erroneous.

As stated in my dissenting opinion, referenced in case: Square: 1374 Lot: 0841, the tenant (Lessee) is the CVS CAREMARK CORPORATION, a tenant of AAA quality credit worthiness, and therefore, the value is not disadvantaged by the long term lease since the value is enhanced by the security of that lease. The income approach would necessitate using a very low capitalization rate to achieve a fair market valuation. Please refer to the "Dissenting Opinion of Cliftine Jones" in reference to Square: 1389, Lot: 0841, 4859 MacArthur Blvd., N.W.

For the aforementioned reasons, I respectfully dissent from the majority's opinion of this woefully excessive reduction of the assessment which results in a gross under assessment of the land valuation.

CLIFTINE JONES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 31, 2013

# Legal Description of Property

Square: 1868 Suffix:

Lot: 0069

Property Address: 5501 Connecticut Avenue NW

	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	3,229,110	Land	3,229,110
Building	5,194,320	Building	5,194,320
Total	\$ 8,423,430	Total	\$ 8,423,430

### Rationale

Pursuant to the statute, the Petitioner must demonstrate by the preponderance of the evidence that the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment of the real property by the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) does not represent the estimated value of the property as of the January 1, 2012, valuation date.

The subject property is a multi-tenanted commercial retail building located in the Chevy Chase area of the city. The property appears to be in good condition and is well located at the corner of Connecticut Avenue and Livingston Street. The Petitioner's appeal is submitted on the basis of valuation and presents The Income Approach to support its estimate of value. The proposed assessment by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) is based on the results of The Cost Approach. The Petitioner challenges the assessment based on the argument that the Cost Approach is less reliable than The Income Approach and that The Income Approach has been the only method used by the OTR on the subject property in prior years.

The Commission has reviewed both analyses and finds that the Income Approach is, in fact, the more reliable method of valuation for this type of property. The Sales Comparison Approach would also be a more reliable method of valuation if there were a sufficient number of comparable sales available to be analyzed. However, the four property sales provided by the OTR are located well outside the subject's market area and are unacceptable as comparable sales data. The Assessor's tax record data, which shows the Cost Value Summary, is also questionable. The record incorrectly identifies the structure as a nine story building (the structure is actually a two story building).

The Commission therefore agrees with the Petitioner that the OTR's use of The Cost Approach is inappropriate in this case by itself. The Commission reviewed the Petitioner's analysis and finds that, based on the Petitioner's TY 2013 Income and Expense (I & E) form, the Net Operating Income is understated. The Commission made revisions to The Income Approach and has determined that a reduction is warranted however, the reduction does not meet the requirement of the five percent rule contained in D.C. Official Co e 47-82S.01a(e)(4)(C)(ii)(2012 Supp.).

This code provision only authorizes the Commission to "raise or lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. The Commission therefore sustains the proposed assessment for the Tax Year 2013.

COMMISSION SIGNATURES

Cliftine Jones

Andrew Dorchester

Trent Williams

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 31, 2013

### Legal Description of Property

Square: 2938

Lot: 0829

Property Address: 5924 Georgia Avenue NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	368,630	Land	294,900
Building	200,970	Building	200,970
Total	\$ 569,600	Total	\$ 495,870

### Rationale:

The subject property is a relatively small retail property and part of a multi-lot (consisting of two (2) lots). The Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) considered the cost approach supported by sales to be the best indicator of value. The Petitioner utilized the income approach to value.

The OTR has submitted several 2011 sales which support their proposed value. During the hearing, the Petitioner argued that the OTR's comparable properties needed adjustments based on location and size.

Based on both the hearing testimony and the evidence provided in this case, the Commission finds that the Petitioner has demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's proposed assessment is erroneous. Upon further due diligence, review and analysis, the Commission finds that the 2011 sales submitted by OTR need adjustments based on location and size. The original assessment is therefore reduced.

#### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Trent Williams

Cliftine Jones

Andrew Dorchester

#### FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date	: January 31, 2013		
Legal Descr	ription of P	roperty	-		
Square: 2	938 L	ot: 0830			
Property A	ddress: 592	6 Georgia Avenue NW	,		
		L ASSESSMENT		FINAL A	ASSESSMENT
Land		466,240	Land		372,990
Building		118,400	Building		118,400
Total	\$	584,640	Total	\$	491,390

### Rationale:

The subject property is a relatively small retail property and part of a multi-lot (consisting of two (2) lots). The Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) considered the cost approach supported by sales to be the best indicator of value. The Petitioner utilized the income approach to value.

The OTR has submitted several 2011 sales which support their proposed value. During the hearing, the Petitioner argued that the OTR's comparable properties needed adjustments based on location and size.

Based on both the hearing testimony and the evidence provided in this case, the Commission finds that the Petitioner has demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's proposed assessment is erroneous. Upon further due diligence, review and analysis, the Commission finds that the 2011 sales submitted by OTR need adjustments based on location and size. The original assessment is therefore reduced.

### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Trent Williams

Cliftine Jones

Andrew Dorchester

#### FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Dat	e: January 30, 2013	
Legal Desc	ription of Property		
Square: 29	57 Lot: 0032		
Square. 27	57 Lot. 0032		
Property A		W	
ORIGINAI	ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	1,138,180	Land	1,138,180
Building	1,762,830	Building	1,762,830
Total	\$ 2,901,010	Total	\$ 2,901,010

### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 7, 2012. The basis for this single lot appeal is valuation. The Petitioner argues that OTR's net operating income are not supported by the market; OTR does not properly account for the subject's operating expenses; OTR does not properly account for the management costs; OTR's capitalization rate analysis is flawed; OTR does not properly account for the excess office space that is on the market; OTR incorrectly relies on the cost approach, when the income approach is more appropriate; and OTR's analysis does not properly account for the subject's design, condition, location, and use. OTR argues the conclusions from its cost approach analysis are consistent with its sales and equalization analysis. OTR and RPTAC identified errors in the Petitioner's income analysis that make its results suspect. The relative strength of OTR's analysis, in comparison to the analysis put forward by the Petitioner, is the basis for the RPTAC to concur with OTR. The Petitioner did not meet its burden of proof in refuting OTR's analysis and failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's analysis is erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC sustains OTR's proposed assessment value for Tax Year 2013.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Andrew Dorchester

Cliftine Jones

Trent Williams

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 30, 2013

# **Legal Description of Property**

Square: 2957

2957 Lot: 0802

Property Address: 7610 Georgia Avenue NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	388,080	Land	388,080
Building	235,390	Building	235,390
Total	\$ 623,470	Total	\$ 623,470

### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 7, 2012. The basis for this two lot appeal is valuation. The Petitioner argues that OTR's stabilized net operating income are not supported by the market; the tenant-base adds additional investment risk; OTR's cost approach is inappropriate; OTR's sales analysis does not properly account for the subject's design, condition, location, and use; the highest and best use of the subject is as an income producing property; and the recession has lead to significant declines in retail property market values. OTR argues that its sales and equalization analyses take the Petitioner's concerns into account and serve as a fair indication of market value. OTR believes the income approach is less applicable due to the type of property that the subject is. The RPTAC ultimately finds that OTR's income approach does appropriately answer the Petitioner's concerns in that it reflects the best estimate of what the market would pay.

The Petitioner did not meet its burden of proof in refuting OTR's analysis and failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's analysis is erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC sustains OTR's proposed assessment value for tax year 2013.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Andrew Dorchester

Trent Williams

### **FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES**



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.01a of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 30, 2013

# Legal Description of Property

Square: 2957 Lot: 0810

Property Address: 7702 Georgia Avenue NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	133,700	Land	133,700
Building	383,420	Building	383,420
Total	\$ 517,120	Total	\$ 517,120

#### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 7, 2012. The basis for this two lot appeal is valuation. The Petitioner argues that OTR's stabilized net operating income are not supported by the market; the tenant-base adds additional investment risk; OTR's cost approach is inappropriate; OTR's sales analysis does not properly account for the subject's design, condition, location, and use; the highest and best use of the subject is as an income producing property; and the recession has lead to significant declines in retail property market values. OTR argues that its sales and equalization analyses take the Petitioner's concerns into account and serve as a fair indication of market value. OTR believes the income approach is less applicable due to the type of property that the subject is. The RPTAC ultimately finds that OTR's income approach does appropriately answer the Petitioner's concerns in that it reflects the best estimate of what the market would pay.

The Petitioner did not meet its burden of proof in refuting OTR's analysis and failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's analysis is erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC sustains OTR's proposed assessment value for tax year 2013.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Andrew Dorchester

ones Trent William

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 30, 2013

### Legal Description of Property

Square: 2962 Lot: 0022

Property Address: 7445 Georgia Avenue NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	1,522,840	Land	1,522,840
Building	76,850	Building	76,850
Total	\$ 1,599,690	Total	\$ 1,599,690

#### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 7, 2012. The basis for this single lot appeal is valuation. The Petitioner argues that OTR's net operating income are not supported by the market; OTR's cost approach is inappropriate and that an income approach is the best valuation approach; nearby sales of comparable properties do not support OTR's proposed assessment; and the recession has lead to significant declines in retail property market values. OTR argues it has considered all three approaches to value. OTR ultimately relies on the cost approach, rejecting the income approach, because it had insufficient data. The subject's location and the fact that it is a corner lot with parking in an area with little parking and no Metro access indicate that it is likely more desirable than other comparable properties in the area. OTR's valuation analysis appears reasonable and well supported and addresses many of the issues raised by the Petitioner. The Petitioner's income approach is inconclusive when juxtaposed with OTR's analysis.

It is important to note that the assessments for the subject for tax years 2009 to 2012 have been ranged \$802,490 to \$847,050. Tax year 2012's assessment was \$814,020. The proposed assessment for tax year 2013 is nearly double of last year's assessment. Land values in the area have not come close to doubling during that time. Based on the evidence presented, it appears that the subject has been under-assessed in previous years. The Petitioner concedes that OTR's value may be an accurate reflection of market value, but that a near-doubling of the assessment in inherently unfair to the subject's owner. Sound systems of taxation should be based on a concept of stability. Increases of this percentage are a shock to property owners and the system. While the assessment process is not perfect, it is a system that can and should correct errors. The RPTAC is pleased that OTR is taking action to prevent future under-assessments of the subject, but understands the shock the property owner must feel. The RPTAC does not have the authority to impose a means of balancing these competing interests, but encourages the District City Council to study this issue.

Square: 2962 Lot: 0022

Property Address: 7445 Georgia Avenue NW

The Petitioner did not meet its burden of proof in refuting OTR's analysis and failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's analysis is erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC sustains OTR's proposed assessment value for Tax Year 2013.

### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Andrew Dorchester

Cliftine Jones

Trent Williams

### FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 31, 2013

**Legal Description of Property** 

Square: 2979 Lot: 00039

Property Address: 6201 Georgia Avenue NW

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	3,018,860	Land	3,018,860
Building	2,650,790	Building	2,650,790
Total	\$ 5,669,650	Total	\$ 5,669,650

### Rationale:

The subject is a shopping center of Georgia Avenue NW. The Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) considered the cost approach supported by sales to be the best indicator of value. The Petitioner utilized the income approach to value.

The OTR has submitted several sales which support their proposed value. During the hearing, OTR argued that the rents used in the Petitioner's analysis are not supported by market.

The Commission finds that the suggestion of an alternative approach to value is not sufficient to prove error in the assessment. Even more, the Petitioner did not submit rent rolls to support their income approach to value. Based on both the hearing testimony and the evidence provided in this case, the Commission finds that the Petitioner failed to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that OTR's proposed assessment is erroneous. Accordingly, the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment is sustained.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Trent Williams

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date: J	January 2, 2013	
Legal Descr	ription of Property		
Square:	3576 Lot: 0814		
Property Ad	ldress: 1500 Harry Thomas Way NI	E	
ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	2,611,600	Land	11,599,000
Building	700,920	Building	1,000
Total	\$ 3,312,520	Total	\$ 11,600,000

#### Rationale

The subject property consists of a small owner occupied office building containing 6,680 sq. ft. of gross building area which sits on 52,232 sq. ft. of land area. The property is located in Eckington in an area zoned M (General Industry). The bases for the Petitioner's appeal are property condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation, and classification. However, the Petitioner did not present any evidence to address any of the claims other than for valuation.

The small office building severely under-improves the subject site. The M zoning allows for development (as a matter of right) to a much higher and better use with a building containing approximately 313,392 square feet of above grade building area. For this reason, the current use and occupancy of the subject property should be considered only as an interim use; the true value of the property lies in the land as if vacant. The analysis performed by the Petitioner, which estimates the property's Market Value based on its potential income stream as a small office building, ignores the value of the land. The Commission therefore rejects the Petitioner's Income analysis as the appropriate method of valuation for this property.

The Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) obviously recognizes that the true value of the property is in the land as determined by the Assessor's written response to the Petitioner's complaint, which states "the subject property has a very large lot and is zoned M (General Industry) which carries a 6.0 FAR. This would equate to a land value of \$15,000,000. If the property were to be advertised for sale, its highest and best use would be considered and would sell for much more than the current assessed value." Yet, the OTR seems to ignore what it believes to be the value of the property by placing an erroneous assessment on the land of only \$2,611,600.00. This value estimate equates to a very low \$50 per square foot of land or \$8.33 per point of FAR.

Square:

Lot: 0814

Property Address: 1500 Harry Thomas Way NE

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining the estimated Market Value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (TY 2013) and, pursuant to D.C. Official Code §47-825.01a (e)(4)(C)(ii)(2012 Supp.), has the responsibility to "raise or lower the estimated value of any real property which it finds to be more than five per centum above or below the estimated market value" of the property. In this case, the Commission finds that the proposed assessment is, in fact, under assessed. The Commission is of the opinion that the subject property should be assessed as a development site, and the value should be estimated based on the analysis of comparable land sales, not on The Income Approach or The Cost Approach analysis.

The Commission's review of the most recent land sales which are located within reasonable proximity of the subject site indicates a fair value for the subject of \$37 to \$53 per point of FAR (translating to \$222 to \$318 per square foot of land area). This indicates a total value estimate for the subject property in the range of \$11,600,000 to \$16,610,000. The Commission has therefore decided to raise the assessment for the subject property to \$11,600,000 for TY 2013 and recommends that the OTR review the assessment in its revaluation of the property for 2014.

### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 31, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square: 3622 Lot: 0803

Property Address: 2207 4th Street NE

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	96,000	Land	96,000
Building	172,790	Building	172,790
Total	\$ 268,790	Total	\$ 268,790

### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 7, 2012. The basis for the multi-lot appeal is valuation. The Petitioner argues OTR does not properly analyze the actual and stabilized income; incorrectly analyzes vacancy; utilizes a cost approach when it should consider income; doesn't use comparable sales in its sales comparison approach; and fails to account for the recent economic conditions. OTR contends that while it analyzed the property using an income approach, the cost approach is a poor indicator of market value for this property. Both the Petitioner and OTR agree that the sales comparison approach has limited application to the subject, due to limited market data. The data contained in the equalization report provided by OTR assists in reconciles OTR's conclusion and increases RPTAC's confidence in the proposed assessment. OTR's contention that the value derived from the cost approach is the most likely basis for price, should this property transact in the open market, is more compelling than the Petitioner's income approach argument due to the nature of the subject. The Petitioner failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed assessment by OTR was erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC sustains the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Andrew Dorchester

Cliftine Jones

Trent Williams

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 31, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square: 3622 Lot: 0809

Property Address: 2201 4th Street NE

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	336,000	Land	336,000
Building	529,860	Building	529,860
Total	\$ 865,860	Total	\$ 865,860

### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 7, 2012. The basis for the multi-lot appeal is valuation. The Petitioner argues OTR does not properly analyze the actual and stabilized income; incorrectly analyzes vacancy; utilizes a cost approach when it should consider income; doesn't use comparable sales in its sales comparison approach; and fails to account for the recent economic conditions. OTR contends that while it analyzed the property using an income approach, the cost approach is a poor indicator of market value for this property. Both the Petitioner and OTR agree that the sales comparison approach has limited application to the subject, due to limited market data. The data contained in the equalization report provided by OTR assists in reconciles OTR's conclusion and increases RPTAC's confidence in the proposed assessment. OTR's contention that the value derived from the cost approach is the most likely basis for price, should this property transact in the open market, is more compelling than the Petitioner's income approach argument due to the nature of the subject. The Petitioner failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed assessment by OTR was erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC sustains the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013.

### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Andrew Dorchester

Cliftine Iones

Trent Williams

#### **FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES**



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year  $\underline{2013}$  as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 31, 2013

**Legal Description of Property** 

Square: 3636 Lot: 0810

Property Address: 705 Edgewood Street NE

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	3,088,560	Land	3,088,560
Building	4,792,640	Building	4,792,640
Total	\$ 7,881,200	Total	\$ 7,881,200

#### Rationale:

Pursuant to statute, the Petitioner must demonstrated, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment for the real property by the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) does not represent the estimated value of the property as of January 1, 2012, valuation date.

The basis of the appeal is valuation. The subject property is a special purpose property that is a charter school. The appraiser considered all three approaches to value. The OTR considered the cost approach for this special use property to be the most applicable approach. The Petitioner argues that the income approach is the most appropriate approach to value the subject. The Assessor completed a stabilized pro forma based on reported income and expense information. The Assessor also accounted for the substantial rent reduction that the Petitioner makes as a part of its submission. There are no cap rate studies available for charter schools so the Assessor used a warehouse cap rate to achieve valuation. OTR's income approach, using the same cap rate used by the Petitioner, achieved a higher valuation than did the cost approach. The Assessor states that the preferred methodology is the cost approach.

The RPTAC reviewed the submissions by the OTR and the Petitioner. The Petitioner utilized the income approach to value and the Assessor at the RPTAC hearing presented the Appraiser's Summary Report, which detailed the Assessor's stabilized pro forma which achieved a higher value than the proposed assessment.

In consideration of the aforementioned, the RPTAC sustains the proposed assessment for the Tax Year 2013.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

liftine Jones Andrew Dorcheste

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 31, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square: 3710 Lot: 0848

Property Address: 5642 2nd Street NE

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	544,050	Land	544,050
Building	28,570	Building	28,570
Total	\$ 572,620	Total	\$ 572,620

### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 7, 2012. The hearing involved an appeal on square 3710, lots 0848, 0849, and 0851. Lot 0199 was also originally appealed, but withdrawn prior to the hearing. The basis for the appeal is valuation. The Petitioner argues that the age, design, and physical condition of the building are not properly accounted for in OTR's analysis. Also, the Petitioner argues, OTR incorrectly analyzes the income, required capital repairs, market, capitalization rate, and improperly relies on a cost approach. The Petitioner's value is based on a February 1, 2008 sale of a 29% interest of the five buildings located on the subject for \$687,000, which the Petitioner argues mathematically results in a \$2,368,966 total value indication, or \$22.74 per square foot. The RPTAC questions the credibility of this valuation approach, as it does not comport with traditional valuation ideology. The RPTAC cautiously views OTR's cost analysis, but agrees with OTR that given the scarcity of market data that it is an acceptable valuation approach. OTR's equalization report shows that OTR's assessment is consistent with its assessment of nearby comparable properties. The Petitioner failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed assessment by OTR was erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC sustains the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013.

### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Andrew Dorchester

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: January 31, 2013

Legal Description of Property

Square: 3710 Lot: 0849

Property Address: 5646 3rd Street NE

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT

FINAL ASSESSMENT

Land	1,025,860	Land	1,025,860
Building	323,310	Building	323,310
Total	\$ 1,349,170	Total	\$ 1,349,170

### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 7, 2012. The hearing involved an appeal on square 3710, lots 0848, 0849, and 0851. Lot 0199 was also originally appealed, but withdrawn prior to the hearing. The basis for the appeal is valuation. The Petitioner argues that the age, design, and physical condition of the building are not properly accounted for in OTR's analysis. Also, the Petitioner argues, OTR incorrectly analyzes the income, required capital repairs, market, capitalization rate, and improperly relies on a cost approach. The Petitioner's value is based on a February 1, 2008 sale of a 29% interest of the five buildings located on the subject for \$687,000, which the Petitioner argues mathematically results in a \$2,368,966 total value indication, or \$22.74 per square foot. The RPTAC questions the credibility of this valuation approach, as it does not comport with traditional valuation ideology. The RPTAC cautiously views OTR's cost analysis, but agrees with OTR that given the scarcity of market data that it is an acceptable valuation approach. OTR's equalization report shows that OTR's assessment is consistent with its assessment of nearby comparable properties. The Petitioner failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed assessment by OTR was erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC sustains the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Andrew Dorchester

Cliffine Jones

Trent Williams

#### **FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES**



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

	Date	January 31, 2013	
Legal Desc	ription of Property	•	
Square: 37	710 Lot: 0851		
Property A	ddress: 5650 3rd Street NE		
	ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	1,010,430	Land	1,010,430
Building	39,580	Building	39,580

### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax & Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner and listened to arguments from both parties at a hearing on November 7, 2012. The hearing involved an appeal on square 3710, lots 0848, 0849, and 0851. Lot 0199 was also originally appealed, but withdrawn prior to the hearing. The basis for the appeal is valuation. The Petitioner argues that the age, design, and physical condition of the building are not properly accounted for in OTR's analysis. Also, the Petitioner argues, OTR incorrectly analyzes the income, required capital repairs, market, capitalization rate, and improperly relies on a cost approach. The Petitioner's value is based on a February 1, 2008 sale of a 29% interest of the five buildings located on the subject for \$687,000, which the Petitioner argues mathematically results in a \$2,368,966 total value indication, or \$22.74 per square foot. The RPTAC questions the credibility of this valuation approach, as it does not comport with traditional valuation ideology. The RPTAC cautiously views OTR's cost analysis, but agrees with OTR that given the scarcity of market data that it is an acceptable valuation approach. OTR's equalization report shows that OTR's assessment is consistent with its assessment of nearby comparable properties. The Petitioner failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed assessment by OTR was erroneous. Therefore, the RPTAC sustains the proposed assessment for Tax Year 2013.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Andrew Dorchester

millie Jones

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES

Trent Williams



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: December 17, 2012

Legal Description of Property

Square: 4493 Lot: 0001

Property Address: 1501 Maryland Avenue NE

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	15,834,310	Land	15,834,310
Building	1,451,300	Building	1,451,300
Total	\$ 17,285,610	Total	\$ 17,285,610

### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation and classification. The Petitioner presented no evidence for the claim of condition, disputed property record, equalization or classification. The subject is a Strip Mall with 189,337 square feet of net rentable area and a land area of 373,627 square feet. The Petitioner and the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) both presented income approaches to support their opinion of the market value for the subject. The issues for valuation are replacement reserves for (short lived items), capitalization rate and lease-up cost and rent overage. It is not 'typical' for OTR to adjust for building reserves for this type of property as the Petitioner asserts. The Petitioner did not show by a preponderance of the evidence that the capitalization rate used by OTR was incorrect. The lease-up cost used by OTR appears to be in line with what is typically allowed and the rent overage/shortfall applied by OTR appears to be correct. The Commission sustains the Tax Year 2013 proposed assessment.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Frank Sanders

Gregory Syphax

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date:	December 20, 2012

Square: 5956

Lot: 0024

Property Address: 3211 Wheeler Road

Legal Description of Property

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT		ASSESSMENT
Land	457,950	Land		457,950
Building	79,330	Building		79,330
Total	\$ 537,280	Total	\$	537,280

### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner. Pursuant to the statute, the Petitioner must demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment of real property does not represent the estimated value of the property as of the January 1, 2012, valuation date.

In this case, the RPTAC reviewed the income and expense analyses of both parties and finds that the expense ratio utilized by the OTR is reasonable. The Petitioner and the OTR agree on the gross potential income and the overall capitalization rate, differing mainly on the respective expense ratio analyses. Based on the aforementioned, the RPTAC sustains the proposed assessment for the Tax Year 2013.

### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Cliftine Iones

Andrew Dorchester

Trent Williams

#### FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year **2013** as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

		Date	December 20, 2012	2	
Legal Descr	ription of Pr	operty			
Square: 5	956 L	ot: 0025			
Property Ac	ddress: 322	21 Wheeler Road SE			
ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT			FINAL ASSI	ESSMENT	
Land		457,710	Land		457,710
Building		92,670	Building		92,670
Total	\$	550,380	Total	\$	550,380

### Rationale:

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) reviewed the submissions by the Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) and the Petitioner. Pursuant to the statute, the Petitioner must demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed Tax Year 2013 assessment of real property does not represent the estimated value of the property as of the January 1, 2012, valuation date.

In this case, the RPTAC reviewed the income and expense analyses of both parties and finds that the expense ratio utilized by the OTR is reasonable. The Petitioner and the OTR agree on the gross potential income and the overall capitalization rate, differing mainly on the respective expense ratio analyses. Based on the aforementioned, the RPTAC sustains the proposed assessment for the Tax Year 2013.

### COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Cliftine Jones

Andrew Dorchester

Trent Williams

#### **FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES**



IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year 2013 as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

Date: December 20, 2012

**Legal Description of Property** 

Square: 5956

Lot: 0816

Property Address: Wheeler Road SE

ORIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT	
Land	30,240	Land	30,240
Building	-0-	Building	-0-
Total	\$ 30,240	Total	\$ 30,240

### Rationale:

The assessment was not contested by the Petitioner.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

Andrew Dorchester

# FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES



2,109,850

5,409,400

\$

IN ACCORDANCE WITH Section 47.825.1 of the District of Columbia Statutes you Are hereby notified of your assessment for the current year <u>2013</u> as finalized by the Real Property Tax Appeals Commission for the property described. If YOU WISH TO APPEAL THIS ASSESSMENT FURTHER, SEE THE INFORMATION BELOW

\$

2,109,850

5,409,400

Frank Sanders

		December 12, 2012	
Legal Descri	ption of Property		
Square: I	PAR 0203 Lot: 0087		
	dress: 3600 Ely Place SE		
(	DRIGINAL ASSESSMENT		FINAL ASSESSMENT
Land	3,299,550	Land	3,299,550

Building

Total

### Rationale:

Building

Total

The Real Property Tax Appeals Commission (RPTAC) is charged with determining an estimated market value for the subject property as of January 1, 2012 (for Tax Year 2013). The bases of the appeal are condition, disputed property record, equalization, valuation and classification. The Petitioner presented no evidence for the claim of condition, disputed property record, equalization, or classification. The Petitioner presented the income approach to support their opinion of the market value for the subject. The Office of Tax and Revenue (OTR) also provided an income pro forma to support the assessment. An analysis of the two reports indicates that the net operating income used by both parties is very close and that the main issues are capitalization rate and capital expenditure. The Petitioner provided no evidence to show, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the capitalization rate used by OTR was incorrect. The Tax Year 2013 income and expense report submitted by the Petitioner failed to list any anticipated capital expenditures for the subject property. The Commission sustains the Tax Year 2013 proposed assessment.

COMMISSIONER SIGNATURES

May Chan

FURTHER APPEAL PROCEDURES